

**From:** [Kerman, Sara J. \(Fed\)](#)  
**To:** [Perlner, Ray A. \(Fed\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Smith-Tone, Daniel C. \(Fed\)](#); [daniel-c.smith@louisville.edu](mailto:daniel-c.smith@louisville.edu)  
**Subject:** FW: Paper accepted at SAC  
**Date:** Monday, August 7, 2017 1:53:02 PM

---

Ray,

You may want to get this paper - **Total Break of the SRP Encryption Scheme** - into NIKE for review (Div Reader and Outside Reader needed) since the conference is next week.

Sara

---

**From:** Moody, Dustin (Fed)  
**Sent:** Monday, August 07, 2017 10:28 AM  
**To:** Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <[sara.kerman@nist.gov](mailto:sara.kerman@nist.gov)>  
**Cc:** Petzoldt, Albrecht R. (IntlAssoc) <[albrecht.petzoldt@nist.gov](mailto:albrecht.petzoldt@nist.gov)>; Smith-Tone, Daniel (Fed) <[daniel.smith@nist.gov](mailto:daniel.smith@nist.gov)>; Perlner, Ray (Fed) <[ray.perlner@nist.gov](mailto:ray.perlner@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Paper accepted at SAC

It should be Ray's name – not mine. Albrecht probably accidentally put me in for Ray. I assume Ray or Daniel will do a WERB.

---

**From:** Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)  
**Sent:** Monday, August 07, 2017 10:25 AM  
**To:** Moody, Dustin (Fed) <[dustin.moody@nist.gov](mailto:dustin.moody@nist.gov)>  
**Cc:** Petzoldt, Albrecht R. (IntlAssoc) <[albrecht.petzoldt@nist.gov](mailto:albrecht.petzoldt@nist.gov)>; Smith-Tone, Daniel (Fed) <[daniel.smith@nist.gov](mailto:daniel.smith@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** Paper accepted at SAC

Dustin,

I don't have a record of the paper referenced below in NIKE (accepted at SAC). Which author will be taking care of starting the WERB process?

Sara

---

**From:** Petzoldt, Albrecht R. (IntlAssoc)  
**Sent:** Monday, August 07, 2017 8:21 AM  
**To:** Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <[sara.kerman@nist.gov](mailto:sara.kerman@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Two PQCrypto Papers

Sorry, I forgot to tell you.

The paper was unfortunately not accepted.  
However, we have another paper accepted as SAC

## **Total Break of the SRP Encryption Scheme**

By Dustin Moody, Albrecht Petzoldt and Daniel Smith-Tone

Most probably Dustin already told you about that.

Best regards,  
Albrecht

---

**From:** Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 03, 2017 3:17 PM  
**To:** Petzoldt, Albrecht R. (IntlAssoc) <[albrecht.petzoldt@nist.gov](mailto:albrecht.petzoldt@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Two PQCrypto Papers

Hi Albrecht,

1. I never heard back – was your paper “Revisiting the HFEv- Signature Scheme over Larger Fields” accepted at SAC?

Sara

---

**From:** Petzoldt, Albrecht R. (IntlAssoc)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 06, 2017 3:03 PM  
**To:** Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <[sara.kerman@nist.gov](mailto:sara.kerman@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Two PQCrypto Papers

Dear Sara,

The second paper was not accepted at PQCrypto. I’ve sent it to SAC. The Notification is tomorrow. I will tell you what came out of it.

Best regards,  
Albrecht

---

**From:** Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 06, 2017 12:49 PM  
**To:** Petzoldt, Albrecht R. (IntlAssoc) <[albrecht.petzoldt@nist.gov](mailto:albrecht.petzoldt@nist.gov)>  
**Subject:** Two PQCrypto Papers

Albrecht,

I published paper #1 (in NIKE/WERB) after finding it in the PQCrypto LNCS Proceedings. Paper #2 was not accepted, correct? Will you try to submit/publish elsewhere?

1. HMFev - An Efficient Multivariate Signature Scheme
2. Revisiting the HFEv- Signature Scheme over Larger Fields

Thanks,

***Sara J. Kerman***

NIST

301-975-4634

[sara@nist.gov](mailto:sara@nist.gov)